arXiv:1411.2903v2 [math-ph] 14 Aug 2015

The domain wall partition function for the Izergin–Korepin 19-vertex model at a root of unity

A Garbali*

Laboratoire de Physique Théorique et Hautes Énergies, CNRS UMR 7589 and Université Pierre et Marie Curie (Paris 6), 4 place Jussieu, 75252 Paris cedex 05, France

Abstract

We study the domain wall partition function Z_N for the $U_q(A_2^{(2)})$ (Izergin–Korepin) integrable 19-vertex model on a square lattice of size N. Z_N is a symmetric function of two sets of parameters: horizontal $\zeta_1, .., \zeta_N$ and vertical $z_1, .., z_N$ rapidities. For generic values of the parameter q we derive the recurrence relation for the domain wall partition function relating Z_{N+1} to $P_N Z_N$, where P_N is the proportionality factor in the recurrence, which is a polynomial symmetric in two sets of variables $\zeta_1, .., \zeta_N$ and $z_1, .., z_N$. After setting $q^3 = -1$ the recurrence relation simplifies and we solve it in terms of a Jacobi–Trudi-like determinant of polynomials generated by P_N.

1 Introduction

We are studying here a particular object of the nineteen vertex model of the $U_q(A_2^{(2)})$ quantum group, also called the Izergin–Korepin model. The object of our interest is the partition function of the model on a square lattice in a $N \times N$ square region with domain wall boundary conditions.

Our work is motivated by the domain wall partition function (DWPF) for the six vertex model Z_{6v}, constructed using the R-matrix of the $U_q(A_1^{(1)})$ quantum group. This partition function is defined by a set of recurrence relations as found by Korepin [11]. These recurrence relations were solved by Izergin [8] and thus Z_{6v} is called the Izergin–Korepin (IK) partition function. In statistical physics the six vertex model represents a model for two dimensional ice, which shows interesting critical phenomena (see [1]). The partition function Z_{6v} plays a very important role in the field of integrable models. It is a crucial object in the theory of correlation functions for integrable spin chains [12] such as the XXZ spin-1/2 chain (see also [10]). In combinatorics it allowed one to count the alternating sign matrices and their symmetry classes [13]. To compute the domain wall partition functions for other vertex model is a very complicated problem. One of the main results generalizing the six vertex domain

*Electronic address: garbali@lpthe.jussieu.fr

wall partition function (DWPF) is due to [4], where the $U_q(A_1^{(1)})$ higher spin generalization of the DWPF is obtained in a determinant form.

Inspired by these and other results we address the question of computing the domain wall partition function for the $U_q(A_2^{(2)})$ nineteen vertex model. This model is an integrable model associated to the Dodd–Bullough–Mikhailov equation, also known as Jiber–Mikhailov–Shabat model [5, 21, 15]. Izergin and Korepin computed the classical and quantum R-matrices for this model [9]. The quantum R-matrix defines the Izergin–Korepin vertex model. The IK[1] R-matrix has nineteen non zero entries, which correspond to the nineteen possible vertex configurations (see Fig. 7). We use this R-matrix to build N by N lattice configurations which have the domain wall boundary conditions Fig. 2. The sum of all such configurations we call the domain wall partition function Z_N. In order to compute Z_N we use the ideas from the six vertex model. First, we establish the recurrence relation for the partition function and then try to find its unique solution. In the case when the deformation parameter q is generic we cannot find a compact expression for Z_N. However, when $q^3 = -1$ we are able to find a determinant expression.

In Section 2 we shortly discuss the DWPF for the six vertex model. In Section 3 we move to the IK model. In Section 4 we derive the recurrence relation using the vanishing properties of the weights of the R-matrix. The solution to this recurrence relation at $q^3 = -1$ is presented in Section 5. The proof follows in Section 6 and with a conclusion we finish.

2 Six-vertex model with domain wall boundary

For the computation of the IK determinant for the six vertex model check the papers [8, 11]. Here we present a short discussion for convenience.

The problem is counting the number of configurations which are built by choosing for each vertex of a square $N \times N$ lattice one of the six vertices from Fig. 1. A configuration



Figure 1: The six vertices of the six vertex model. The letters a, b, and c are the weights of the corresponding vertices.

thus constructed will have on each edge one of the two states: a left arrow or a right arrow if the edge is horizontal and an up arrow or a down arrow for a vertical edge. We then impose the domain wall boundary conditions which are depicted in Fig. 2. Each vertex on this lattice has a position (i, j) where horizontal position i is counted rightwards, and the vertical position j is counted downwards starting from the top left corner. The weight of the vertex at position (i, j) is denoted by $w_{i,j}$ and takes one of the three values $a_{i,j}$, $b_{i,j}$ or $c_{i,j}$. The weight of a configuration ε on a square domain of size $N \times N$ will be the product

[1]The abbreviation IK can be a bit misleading here. Both the model and the object that we want to compute contain the IK part in their short names.



Figure 2: The domain wall boundary conditions. The parameters $\zeta_1, .., \zeta_4$ are associated to the horizontal lines, while the parameters $z_1, .., z_4$ are associated to the vertical lines.

of all weights of its vertices

$$\prod_{1\leq i,j\leq L} w_{i,j}^{(\varepsilon)}.$$

The IK partition function is the sum over all configurations (states) ε:

$$Z_{6v} = \sum_{\varepsilon\in \text{states}} \prod_{1\leq i,j\leq N} w_{i,j}^{(\varepsilon)}. \tag{1}$$

The weights $a,\ b$ and c are encoded in the R-matrix. The R-matrix acts on two vector spaces labeled by i and j, which carry spectral parameters z_i and z_j, thus we write $R_{i,j}(z_i, z_j)$. We write the R-matrix in the spin basis: $e_+ = (1, 0)$ and $e_- = (0, 1)$, where e_+ corresponds to an up arrow if the edge is vertical and a right arrow if the edge is horizontal, similarly e_- corresponds to a down arrow if the edge is vertical and a left arrow if the edge is horizontal.

$$R_{i,j}(z_i, z_j) = \begin{pmatrix} a\left(z_i, z_j\right) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b\left(z_i, z_j\right) & c\left(z_i, z_j\right) & 0 \\ 0 & c\left(z_i, z_j\right) & b\left(z_i, z_j\right) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a\left(z_i, z_j\right) \end{pmatrix} \tag{2}$$

In fact the integrable R-matrix depends on the ratio of the spectral parameters: $R_{i,j}(z_i/z_j) \propto R_{i,j}(z_i, z_j)$. Using the matrix units $e_{a,b}$ as a basis for the matrices acting in $\mathbb{C}^2$ we can write (the indices in the summations in this section take values $-$ and $+$):

$$R(z_1/z_2) = \sum_{a,b,c,d} r_{a,b}^{c,d}(z_1/z_2) e_{a,c} \otimes e_{b,d}, \tag{3}$$

where the components of the R-matrix are denoted by $r_{a,b}^{c,d}$; furthermore we will use their graphical representation Fig. 3. We will also need the $\check{R}$-matrix: $\check{R} = PR$, where P is the permutation matrix:

$$P = \sum_{a,b} e_{a,b} \otimes e_{b,a}, \tag{4}$$

so we have:

$$\check{R}(z_1/z_2) = \sum_{a,b,c,d} \check{r}^{c,d}_{a,b}(z_1/z_2) e_{a,c} \otimes e_{b,d}, \tag{5}$$

Graphically, the components of $\check{R}$ are presented in Fig. 4. The integrable R-matrix satisfies



Figure 3: Components $r^{c,d}_{a,b}(z_1/z_2)$. The arrows here define the orientation of the R-matrix.



Figure 4: Components $\check{r}^{c,d}_{a,b}(z_1/z_2)$.

the Yang–Baxter equation. Using the schematic notation of the $\check{R}$-matrix this equation can be drawn as in Fig. 5. The Yang–Baxter equation corresponding to Fig. 5 is written then



Figure 5: The Yang–Baxter equation. The spectral parameters x, y and z are carried by the corresponding vector spaces.

as

$$\check{R}_{i+1}(y,x)\check{R}_i(z,x)\check{R}_{i+1}(z,y) = \check{R}_i(z,y)\check{R}_{i+1}(z,x)\check{R}_i(y,x), \tag{6}$$

where $\check{R}$-matrices here are: $\check{R}_i = \check{R} \otimes Id$ and $\check{R}_{i+1} = Id \otimes \check{R}$. This equation restricts the possible weighs of the vertices. The solution reads:

$$a(z_i, z_j) = \frac{q^2 z_i^2 - z_j^2}{(q^2-1)\, z_i z_j}, \quad b\,(z_i, z_j) = \frac{q\left(z_i^2 - z_j^2\right)}{(q^2-1)\, z_i z_j}, \quad c\,(z_i, z_j) = 1. \tag{7}$$

In the domain as on Fig. 2 there are N horizontal spaces carrying N parameters $\zeta_1, .., \zeta_N$ and N vertical spaces carrying N parameters $z_1, .., z_N$. These parameters are called inhomogeneities and the model therefore is called the inhomogeneous six vertex model. From the form of the weights Eq. (7) we see that the partition function Z_{6v} is a polynomial in z's and ζ's divided by a common denominator that we neglect in what follows. In fact, Z_{6v} is symmetric separately in z's and in ζ's. It can be seen by applying the $\check{R}_{i,i+1}$ matrix to Fig. 2 and using repeatedly the Yang–Baxter equation. If the $\check{R}$-matrix is applied at a position i from below or above of the domain Fig. 2 this action will switch two rapidities z_i and z_{i+1}, if it is applied from the sides ζ_i and ζ_{i+1} will be switched (see Fig. 6).



Figure 6: On the left side of this equation one must use the Yang–Baxter equation Fig. 5 to push through the $\check{R}$-matrix. The boundary conditions are such that on the both sides of this equation there is only one term of the $\check{R}$-matrix that contributes, i.e. the vertex with the weight a. The symmetry in z's is proven similarly.

Now we present the computation of the domain wall partition function Z_N^{6v} for the six-vertex model. Z_N^{6v} has two recurrence relations that correspond to setting $\zeta_j = z_i$ and $\zeta_j = q^{-1} z_i$. For their derivation one can consult [8, 11] or see the explanation of similar recurrences in the case of the nineteen vertex model in Section 4. The recurrence relations are:

$$Z_N^{6v}(\zeta_1, .., \zeta_j = z_i, .., \zeta_N | z_1, .., z_N) = f_{i,j}^N Z_{N-1}[\zeta_j, z_i], \tag{8}$$

and

$$Z_N^{6v}(\zeta_1, .., \zeta_j = q^{-1} z_i, .., \zeta_N | z_1, .., z_N) = g_{i,j}^N Z_{N-1}[\zeta_j, z_i], \tag{9}$$

where the square brackets indicate which variables are absent from the initial list of variables

on the left hand side. The corresponding factors in the two recurrences are

$$f_{i,j}^N = \prod_{1\le k\neq i\le N}(q^2 z_i^2 - z_k^2) \prod_{1\le k\neq j\le N}(q^2\zeta_k^2 - z_i^2), \tag{10}$$

$$g_{i,j}^N = \prod_{1\le k\neq i\le N}(z_i^2 - q^2 z_k^2) \prod_{1\le k\neq j\le N}(\zeta_k^2 - z_i^2). \tag{11}$$

Z_N^{6v} is expressed in terms of Z_{N-1}^{6v}'s as:

$$Z_N^{6v}(\zeta_1,..,\zeta_N|z_1,..,z_N) = \sum_{k=1}^N Z_{N-1}[\zeta_N, z_k] \prod_{i=1,i\neq k}^N \frac{(\zeta_N^2 - z_i^2)}{(z_k^2 - z_i^2)} f_{i,N}^N, \quad \text{and} \tag{12}$$

$$Z_N^{6v}(\zeta_1,..,\zeta_N|z_1,..,z_N) = \sum_{k=1}^N Z_{N-1}[\zeta_N, z_k] \prod_{i=1,i\neq k}^N \frac{(q^2\zeta_N^2 - z_i^2)}{(z_k^2 - z_i^2)} g_{i,N}^N. \tag{13}$$

This recurrence relation was derived by Korepin and solved by Izergin and the solution is written as the following determinant:

$$Z_N^{6v} = \mathcal{N} \det_{1\le i,j\le N}\left(\frac{1}{(\zeta_i^2 - z_j^2)(q^2\zeta_i^2 - z_j^2)}\right), \tag{14}$$

$$\mathcal{N} = \frac{\prod_{1\le i,j\le N}(\zeta_i^2 - z_j^2)(q^2\zeta_i^2 - z_j^2)}{\prod_{1\le i<j\le N}(\zeta_i^2 - \zeta_j^2)(z_j^2 - z_i^2)\prod_{i=1}^N (q^2-1)^{N-1}\zeta_i^{N-1} z_i^{N-1}}.$$

It is a polynomial of degree $N(N-1)$ and it satisfies the required recurrence relations together with the initial condition $Z_1^{6v} = 1$.

3 Nineteen-vertex model with domain wall boundary

Consider an inhomogeneous nineteen vertex model on a lattice. States of the model are defined through assigning one of the nineteen configurations to each vertex of the lattice. Each edge of the lattice can be in three states, denoted by arrows or an empty edge, in such a way that the total number of arrows pointing towards a vertex has to be equal to the total number of arrows pointing outwards. This restriction defines the nineteen possible configurations at each vertex Fig. 7.

The weights of the nineteen vertices are encoded in the R-matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix}
x_1(\zeta) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & x_2(\zeta) & 0 & x_5(\zeta) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & x_3(\zeta) & 0 & x_6(\zeta) & 0 & x_7(\zeta) & 0 & 0 \\
0 & y_5(\zeta) & 0 & x_2(\zeta) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & y_6(\zeta) & 0 & x_4(\zeta) & 0 & x_6(\zeta) & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x_2(\zeta) & 0 & x_5(\zeta) & 0 \\
0 & 0 & y_7(\zeta) & 0 & y_6(\zeta) & 0 & x_3(\zeta) & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & y_5(\zeta) & 0 & x_2(\zeta) & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x_1(\zeta)
\end{pmatrix}. \tag{15}$$



Figure 7: The nineteen vertices and their weights.

For the model under consideration they are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_1(\zeta) &= \left(\zeta q^2 - 1\right)\left(\zeta q^3 + 1\right), \\
x_2(\zeta) &= (\zeta - 1)\, q \left(\zeta q^3 + 1\right), \\
x_3(\zeta) &= (\zeta - 1)\, q^2 \left(\zeta q + 1\right), \\
x_4(\zeta) &= -\zeta + \zeta q^5 + \zeta\,(\zeta - 1)\, q^4 - \zeta q^3 + \zeta q^2 + (\zeta - 1)\, q, \\
x_5(\zeta) &= \sqrt{\zeta}\left(q^2 - 1\right)\left(\zeta q^3 + 1\right), \\
x_6(\zeta) &= \sqrt{\zeta}\,(\zeta - 1)\,(-\sqrt{q})\left(q^2 - 1\right), \\
x_7(\zeta) &= \zeta\left(q^2 - 1\right)\left(\zeta q^3 + (\zeta - 1)\, q + 1\right), \\
y_5(\zeta) &= \sqrt{\zeta}\left(q^2 - 1\right)\left(\zeta q^3 + 1\right), \\
y_6(\zeta) &= \sqrt{\zeta}\,(\zeta - 1)\, q^{5/2}\left(q^2 - 1\right), \\
y_7(\zeta) &= \left(q^2 - 1\right)\left(\zeta q^3 - (\zeta - 1)\, q^2 + 1\right).
\end{aligned}
\tag{16}
$$

This R-matrix defines the integrable vertex model associated to the quantum group $U_q(A_2^{(2)})$. This model was discovered by Izergin and Korepin and thus called the Izergin–Korepin nineteen-vertex model. The corresponding $\check{R}$-matrix satisfies the Yang–Baxter equation which is the same as before (6).

We are interested in counting configurations of the following object. Consider the square lattice of size N filled in with the above nineteen vertices in such a way that horizontal boundary arrows are pointing in to the lattice, while the vertical ones pointing outside the lattice. These boundary conditions are called, as before, the domain wall boundary Fig. 2. The partition function of this object is the sum of all possible configurations with weights defined in Eq. (16).

$$Z_N = \sum_{\varepsilon \in \text{states}} \prod_{1 \le i,j \le N} w_{i,j}^{(\varepsilon)}, \tag{17}$$

where $w_{i,j}^{(\varepsilon)}$ is the weight of the vertex sitting at a position i, j of a configuration ε. This partition function is a symmetric polynomial in both horizontal ζ_i and vertical z_i rapidities. The fact that it is a polynomial comes from the observation that each vertex that has a $\sqrt{\zeta}$ appears necessarily with another vertex that has a $\sqrt{\zeta}$. These weights are: x_5, x_6, y_5 and y_6 and they correspond to the vertices which have a "turning" of an empty line. Clearly, the number of such turnings must be even in any DWPF configuration. The fact that Z_N is symmetric can be proved as in the case of the six vertex model by attaching the R-matrix to two horizontal external lines in Fig. 2 or two vertical external lines and repetitive application of the Yang–Baxter equation. Hence the partition function $Z_N(\zeta_1, .., \zeta_N, z_1, .., z_N)$ is a symmetric polynomial in z_i's and ζ_j's with coefficients being polynomials in q with integer coefficients.

4 Recurrence relation

The partition function Z_N satisfies two recurrence relations in size with the initial condition $Z_0 = 1$. They both take the form:

$$Z_N(\zeta_1, .., \zeta_N | z_1, .., z_N) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \kappa_i(\zeta_1, .., \zeta_N | z_1, .., z_N) Z_{N-1}(\zeta_1, .., \zeta_{N-1} | .., \hat{z}_i, ..), \tag{18}$$

with some appropriate polynomials κ_i.

By inspecting the vanishing properties of the weights of the R-matrix we notice that there are two recurrence relations in size. When we set ζ_j to z_i in Z_n we get:

$$Z_N(\zeta_1, .., \zeta_j = z_i, .., \zeta_N | z_1, .., z_N) = F_{i,j}^N Z_{N-1}[\zeta_j, z_i], \tag{19}$$

This recurrence have a graphical interpretation shown in Fig. 8. Indeed, if we look at the north east corner (position $(1, N)$ on the lattice) of the domain, the boundary condition allows only for three vertices. These are vertices with the weights x_3, x_7 and x_6. After setting $\zeta_1 = z_N$, x_3 and x_6 vanish, so we are left with the vertex x_7. This vertex has a down arrow on its vertical lower edge and a right arrow on its left edge, hence due to the boundary condition at position $(2, N)$ we are forced to put the vertex corresponding to the weight x_1 and at position $(1, N-1)$ the other vertex with the weight x_1. In fact, all remaining vertices in the N-th column are frozen, as well as all the remaining vertices of the first row. These vertices contribute with the products of x_1-weights:

$$\prod_{1 \le i \le N-1} x_1(\zeta_1/z_i) \prod_{2 \le i \le N} x_1(\zeta_i/z_N)|_{\zeta_1 = z_N}. \tag{20}$$

A different recurrence appears when we set ζ_j to $-q^{-3}z_i$ in Z_N:

$$Z_N(\zeta_1, .., \zeta_j = -q^{-3}z_i, .., \zeta_N | z_1, .., z_N) = G_{i,j}^N Z_{N-1}[\zeta_j, z_i], \tag{21}$$



Figure 8: The recurrence relation under substitution $\zeta_1 = z_4$ for a 4×4 lattice. Since the first row is frozen and the last column is frozen we obtain simple factors of x_1-weights, while the remaining configuration has the domain wall boundary conditions and corresponds to Z_3.

The graphical explanation of this recurrence is similar to the previous recurrence. One must consider the top left corner of our domain and observe that only one vertex does not vanish under the substitution $\zeta_1 = -q^{-3}z_1$. The first row and the first column freeze, while the rest returns the domain wall boundary condition for the domain of size $N-1 \times N-1$.

The F and the G are given by:

$$F^N_{i,j} = (q^3+1)z_i \prod_{1\le k\ne i\le N} (q^2 z_i - z_k)(q^3 z_i + z_k) \prod_{1\le k\ne j\le N} (q^2\zeta_k - z_i)(q^3\zeta_k + z_i), \tag{22}$$

$$G^N_{i,j} = -q^{-N-1}(q^3+1)z_i \prod_{1\le k\ne i\le N} (z_i - q^2 z_k)(z_i + q^3 z_k) \prod_{1\le k\ne j\le N} (\zeta_k - z_i)(q\zeta_k + z_i). \tag{23}$$

If we know Z_{N-1} these two recurrence relations allow us to determine Z_N. We can consider Z_N as a polynomial in ζ_N of degree $2N-1$ with $2N$ coefficients. Since we know the values of Z_N at N points $\zeta_N = z_i$ (Eq. (19) with $j = N$) and at another N points $\zeta_N = -q^{-3}z_i$ (Eq. (21) with $j = N$), therefore we can determine all the coefficients of Z_N in its expansion in ζ_N. Using the Lagrange polynomial we can write Z_N as a sum of Z_{N-1}'s as follows:

$$Z_N(\zeta_1,..,\zeta_N|z_1,..,z_N) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} Z_{N-1}[\zeta_N, z_k] \frac{\prod_{i=1}^N (\zeta_N - z_i)(\zeta_N + q^{-3}z_i)}{\prod_{i=1,i\ne k}^N (z_k - z_i)} \times$$
$$\left(\frac{F^N_{k,N}}{(\zeta_N - z_k)\prod_{i=1}^N (z_k + q^{-3}z_i)} - \frac{q^{3(N-1)}G^N_{k,N}}{(\zeta_N + q^{-3}z_k)\prod_{i=1}^N (q^{-3}z_k + z_i)} \right). \tag{24}$$

This is of course a polynomial because the denominators are canceled by the common prefactor and by the F and G respectively including the factors of $q^3 - 1$ in the definitions Eq.

(22) and Eq. (23). Using this we write

$$Z_N(\zeta_1,..,\zeta_N|z_1,..,z_N) = q^{3(N-1)}\sum_{k=1}^{N} Z_{N-1}[\zeta_N,z_k]\prod_{i=1,i\neq k}^{N}\frac{(\zeta_N-z_i)(\zeta_N+q^{-3}z_i)}{(z_k-z_i)}\times$$
$$\Big((q^3\zeta_N+z_k)\prod_{i\neq k}(q^2z_k-z_i)\prod_{1\leq i\leq N-1}(-z_k+q^2\zeta_i)(z_k+q^3\zeta_i)+$$
$$q^{2N-1}(\zeta_N-z_k)\prod_{i\neq k}(z_k-q^2z_i)\prod_{1\leq i\leq N-1}(-z_k+\zeta_i)(z_k+q\zeta_i)\Big). \quad (25)$$

Possibly there is a way to write Z_N for generic q as a single determinant, for now this remains an open question. In the next section we show how to solve the recurrence relation for Z_N when $q^3=-1$.

5 Solution for the cubic root of unity

In this section we will assume $q^3=-1$. The recurrence relation Eq. (25) simplifies in this case. Upon setting $q^3=-1$ we observe from Eq. (25) that Z_N factors out the product:

$$\prod_{1\leq i,j\leq N}(z_i-\zeta_j). \quad (26)$$

We neglect this common factor in what follows. The initial condition becomes $Z_1=1$ and out of the two recurrence points only one remains, i.e. when we set $\zeta_j=z_i$:

$$Z_N(\zeta_1,..,\zeta_j=z_i,..,\zeta_N|z_1,..,z_N) = P_{i,j}Z_{N-1}[\zeta_j,z_i]. \quad (27)$$

Let us focus on the polynomial $P_{i,j}$ and for convenience we specify $i=N$, $j=N$ and set $z_N=x$. The polynomial $P_{N,N}=P(x|\zeta_1,..,\zeta_{N-1},z_1,..,z_{N-1})$ is a symmetric polynomial in $\zeta_1,..,\zeta_{N-1}$ and separately in $z_1,..,z_{N-1}$

$$P(x|\zeta_1,..,\zeta_{N-1},z_1,..,z_{N-1}) =$$
$$(-q)^N\left(q\prod_{i=1}^{N-1}(\zeta_i+qx)\prod_{i=1}^{N-1}(z_i+x/q)+\frac{1}{q}\prod_{i=1}^{N-1}(\zeta_i+x/q)\prod_{i=1}^{N-1}(z_i+qx)\right). \quad (28)$$

Note, up to the overall factor of q^N, P is invariant under $q\to 1/q$, which means it has to be a function of $q+1/q$. Since we set $q^3=-1$ we have $q+1/q=1$ and P becomes a polynomial with purely integer coefficients. The same is therefore also true for Z_N itself. Let us consider now P as the generating function for some symmetric polynomials:

$$P_N(x)=P(x|\zeta_1,..,\zeta_N,z_1,..,z_N)=(-q)^N\sum_{i=0}^{2N}x^i\Delta_{2N-i,N}(\zeta_1,..,\zeta_N,z_1,..,z_N). \quad (29)$$

We included here the factor of q^N in order to make $\Delta_{i,N}$ q independent. The polynomials $\Delta_{i,N}$ are polynomials of $2N$ variables with the total degree i. If $i<0$ or $i>2N$ we set it

equal to 0, and also $\Delta_{0,N} = 1$. Here is the example for $N = 2$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{1,2} &= 2\zeta_1 + 2\zeta_2 - z_1 - z_2, \\
\Delta_{2,2} &= \zeta_1\zeta_2 + \zeta_1 z_2 + \zeta_2 z_2 + \zeta_1 z_1 + \zeta_2 z_1 - 2z_1 z_2, \\
\Delta_{3,2} &= -\zeta_1 z_1 z_2 + 2\zeta_2\zeta_1 z_1 + 2\zeta_2\zeta_1 z_2 - \zeta_2 z_1 z_2, \\
\Delta_{4,2} &= \zeta_1\zeta_2 z_1 z_2.
\end{aligned}$$

These symmetric functions have a few nice properties which we will discuss in the next section. The solution to the recurrence relation (27) reads:

$$Z_N(\zeta_1, .., \zeta_N, z_1, .., z_N) = \det_{1\le i,j\le N-1} \Delta_{3j-i,N}(\zeta_1, .., \zeta_N, z_1, .., z_N), \tag{30}$$

This is the main result of our paper. The proof of this formula follows next.

6 Proof

Let us list few properties of $\Delta_{i,N}$. First of all, looking at the definition of these polynomials we can immediately express them through the elementary symmetric polynomials:

$$\Delta_{2N-i,N} = \sum_{\substack{1\le n_1,n_2\le N \\ n_1+n_2=i}}^{N} (q^{1-n_1+n_2} + q^{-1+n_1-n_2}) E_{N-n_1}(\zeta_1, .., \zeta_N) E_{N-n_2}(z_1, .., z_N), \tag{31}$$

where $E_i(z_1, .., z_N)$ is 0 if $i < 0$ or $i > N$, otherwise:

$$E_i(z_1, .., z_N) = \sum_{1\le n_1<..<n_i\le N} z_{n_1} z_{n_2} .. z_{n_i}. \tag{32}$$

Note that Eq. (31) is valid for generic values of q. When $q = 1$, Δ_i becomes the elementary symmetric polynomials of the union of z's and ζ's times a factor of two. So, it can be considered as a type of q-deformation of the elementary symmetric polynomials.

Let us look what happens when we set, say, $\zeta_N = z_N$. From the definition of P_N we see that it produces back P_{N-1}:

$$\begin{aligned}
P(x|\zeta_1, .., \zeta_N, z_1, .., z_N)|_{\zeta_N=z_N} &= -(z_N q + x)(z_N + qx) P(x|\zeta_1, .., \zeta_{N-1}, z_1, .., z_{N-1}) \\
&= -q(z_N^2 + x z_N + x^2) P(x|\zeta_1, .., \zeta_{N-1}, z_1, .., z_{N-1}),
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

where in the second line we took into account that $q^3 = -1$. Looking at Eq. (33) we can relate the set of $\Delta_{i,N}$'s in which $\zeta_N = z_N$ to the set of $\Delta_{j,N-1}$'s:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Delta_{i,N}(\zeta_1, .., \zeta_N = z_N, z_1, .., z_N) = \Delta_{i,N-1}(\zeta_1, .., \zeta_{N-1}, z_1, .., z_{N-1}) \\
&+ z_N \Delta_{i-1,N-1}(\zeta_1, .., \zeta_{N-1}, z_1, .., z_{N-1}) + z_N^2 \Delta_{i-2,N-1}(\zeta_1, .., \zeta_{N-1}, z_1, .., z_{N-1})
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

Using this equation and a certain row-column manipulation in the matrix $\Delta_{3j-i,N}$ we are going to show that the determinant (30) satisfies the recurrence (27).

Set $\zeta_N = z_N$ and substitute Eq. (34) in every entry of the matrix in Eq. (30). Starting from the first row subtract from each row i row $i + 1$ multiplied by z_N. Next, subtract from

each column j column $j+1$ multiplied by $z_N^{3(N-1-j)}$ starting from the $j=(N-2)$-th column. In the resulting matrix all elements of the first column become zero except from the bottom element. The bottom element in the first column takes the form of Eq. (29), while the rest of the matrix equals to $\Delta_{3j-i,N}$ of size $N-1$, and the last row is unimportant upon taking the determinant. The row-column manipulation above corresponds to the following series of equations. Upon application of the recurrence relation each entry becomes:

$$\Delta_{3j-i,N-1} + z_N \Delta_{3j-i-1,N-1} + z_N^2 \Delta_{3j-i-2,N-1} \tag{35}$$

After the first row manipulation the last row remains as before:

$$\Delta_{3j-N+1,N-1} + z_N \Delta_{3j-N,N-1} + z_N^2 \Delta_{3j-N-1,N-1}, \tag{36}$$

the rest part of the matrix becomes:

$$\Delta_{3j-i,N-1} - z_N^3 \Delta_{3j-i-3,N-1}. \tag{37}$$

We notice that in the last column the first of these two terms vanish $\Delta_{3(N-1)-i,N-1}$ for all $i < N-1$, while in the first column the second term vanish. Next, we use the last column to eliminate the unwanted terms in other entries of the matrix (except from the last row). After this, the first column except from its last element will vanish, while the last element will be:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} z_N^{3(N-1-j)} (\Delta_{3j-N+1,N-1} + z_N \Delta_{3j-N,N-1} + z_N^2 \Delta_{3j-N-1,N-1}) \\ &= q^{2(N-1)} P(z_N | \zeta_1, .., \zeta_{N-1}, z_1, .., z_{N-1}) \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

This completes the proof. We can alternatively view this row column manipulation as acting on the left and on the right of Eq. (35) with certain matrices with unit determinant. Let us call the expression in Eq. (35) $\tilde{\Delta}_{3j-k,N-1}$, and define two matrices:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -z & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -z & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & -z \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{39}$$

and

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z^3 & z^6 & \dots & z^{3(N-1)} \\ 0 & 1 & z^3 & \dots & z^{3(N-2)} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & z^3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{40}$$

We have:

$$\det_{1 \le k,l \le N-1} A_{i,j} \tilde{\Delta}_{3j-k,N-1} B_{k,l} = q^{2(N-1)} P_{N-1}(z_N) \det_{1 \le k,l \le N-2} \Delta_{3j-k,N-1}. \tag{41}$$

7 Conclusion

As we mentioned in the introduction, our study is motivated by the six vertex model. Hence, it is natural to look at other related objects which were computed for the six vertex model. Since the nineteen vertex model seems to have a more complicated structure, one probably should not expect to obtain nice answers as in the six vertex case. As we have observed, however, when q is a root of unity the nineteen vertex model becomes "*computable*".

Here we considered the domain wall boundary conditions for the nineteen vertex model of Izergin and Korepin. An interesting extension of our computation would be to consider other boundary conditions, i.e. to use reflection matrices on one or two sides of the $N \times N$ domain. In the case of the six vertex model the corresponding partition functions are known to be determinants or Pfaffians (see [19] and also [14]). One would need to find first the recurrence relation for the partition function and then after setting $q^3 = -1$ it should be possible to obtain a determinantal expression. We note here that similar determinants appear in the study of the related loop model exactly when $q^3 = -1$. The loop model related to the IK model is called the dilute Temperley–Lieb (dTL(n)) loop model [17, 16]. This model has a parameter: the weight n of a loop. When $q^3 = -1$ this corresponds to $n = 1$ and the corresponding loop model is related to interesting statistical models like critical percolation, for example. In [7] it was shown that the partition function of the dTL(1) model satisfies a similar recurrence as in Eq. (27) and Eq. (28), and has a solution similar to Eq. (30).

In the context of the algebraic Bethe Ansatz the domain wall partition function for the six vertex model represents the highest spin eigenvector of the corresponding transfer matrix with periodic boundary conditions. The parameters ζ_i become the Bethe roots. This object is essential in the study of correlation functions of the corresponding model. One may similarly look at the highest spin eigenvector of the transfer matrix for the IK model given by the corresponding algebraic Bethe Ansatz (see [18]). However, for the nineteen vertex model the eigenvectors of the transfer matrix are much more complicated than in the case of the six vertex model. For example, to compute highest spin eigenvector we need to consider the nineteen vertex model with many different boundary conditions on rectangular domains. The expression for this eigenvector for $N = 4$ pictorially is shown in Fig. 9. In general, the expression for this eigenvector looks very complicated. For the root of unity $q^3 = -1$ we know few terms here, i.e. those corresponding to the domain wall boundaries. The other terms should not be expected to have a nice closed form since they, in general, are not symmetric in ζ's nor in z's. The eigenvector as a whole is symmetric in ζ's and z's. One then needs to find a recurrence relation for it and then, if lucky, it will be possible to find its closed form solution at $q^3 = -1$. The knowledge of this will be helpful in understanding of the other eigenvectors. In particular, we could look at the zero spin eigenvectors at $q^3 = -1$ (i.e. when lower boundary has equal number of up arrows and down arrows). One such eigenvector was computed in the loop basis [6] by means of the quantum Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov equations.

Finally, regarding the generic q expression for Z_N partition function one could try to look for its expansion in terms of symmetric polynomials. For example, it is known that Z_{6v} expands naturally in the Hall–Littlewood polynomials [20, 2, 3].



Figure 9: This is the highest spin eigenvector of the IK transfer matrix if ζ_i's are the Bethe roots. The coefficients $c_{i,j}$ are certain functions of the weights in Eq. (16). The circles appearing on the right boundaries signify that the corresponding edges are in the empty state.

Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to Michael Wheeler and Paul Zinn-Justin for inspiring discussions and to Nikolai Kitanine for pointing to various inaccuracies in the initial version of the text. The work is supported by the ERC grant 278124 "Loop models, Integrability and Combinatorics".

References

[1] R. J. Baxter. *Exactly solved models in statistical mechanics*. academic Press Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich], London, 1982.

[2] D. Betea and M. Wheeler. Refined Cauchy and Littlewood identities, plane partitions and symmetry classes of alternating sign matrices. *arXiv:1402.0229*, 2014.

[3] D. Betea, M. Wheeler, and P. Zinn-Justin. Refined Cauchy/Littlewood identities and six-vertex model partition functions: II. proofs and new conjectures. *arXiv:1405.7035*, 2014.

[4] A Caradoc, O Foda, and N Kitanine. Higher spin vertex models with domain wall boundary conditions. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment*, 2006(03):P03012, 2006.

[5] R. K. Dodd and R. K. Bullough. Polynomial conserved densities for the sine-Gordon equations. *Proc. R. Cos. Lond. A*, 352:481–503, 1977.

[6] A. Garbali and B. Nienhuis. The dilute Temperley-Lieb O($n = 1$) loop model on a semi infinite strip: the ground state. *arXiv:1411.7020*, 2014.

[7] A. Garbali and B. Nienhuis. The dilute Temperley-Lieb O($n = 1$) loop model on a semi infinite strip: the sum rule. *arXiv:1411.7160*, 2014.

[8] A. G. Izergin. Partition function of the six-vertex model in a finite volume. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 297(2):331–333, 1987.

[9] A. G. Izergin and V. E. Korepin. The inverse scattering method approach to the quantum Shabat-Mikhailov model. *Commun. in Math. Phys.*, 79(3):303–316, 1981.

[10] N. Kitanine, J. M. Maillet, and V. Terras. Form factors of the XXZ Heisenberg spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ finite chain. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 554(3):647–678, 1999.

[11] V. A. Korepin. Calculation of norms of Bethe wave functions. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 86(3):391–418, 1982.

[12] V. E. Korepin, N. M. Bogoliubov, and A. G. Izergin. *Quantum inverse scattering method and correlation functions*. Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.

[13] G. Kuperberg. Another proof of the alternating-sign matrix conjecture. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, (3):139–150, 1996.

[14] G. Kuperberg. Symmetry classes of alternating-sign matrices under one roof. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 156(3):835–866, 2002.

[15] A. V. Mikhailov. *Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz*, 30:443, 1979.

[16] B. Nienhuis. Critical and multicritical O(n) models. *Physica A*, 163:152–157, 1990.

[17] B. Nienhuis. Critical spin-1 vertex models and O(n) models. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. B*, 4:929–942, 1990.

[18] V. O. Tarasov. Algebraic Bethe ansatz for the Izergin-Korepin R-matrix. *Theor. Math. Phys.*, 76:793–803, 1988.

[19] O. Tsuchiya. Determinant formula for the six vertex model with reflecting end. *J. Math. Phys.*, 39(11):5946–5951, 1998.

[20] S. O. Warnaar. Bisymmetric functions, Macdonald polynomials and sl_3 basic hypergeometric series. *Compos. Math.*, 144(2):2789–2804, 2009.

[21] A. V. Zhiber and A. B. Shabat. Klein-Gordon equations with a nontrivial group (in Russian). *Docl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 247:1103, 1979.